

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,451	01/03/2005	Cornelis Leonardus Gerardus Ham	PHNL021226US	1091
38107 7590 01/09/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 595 MINER ROAD			EXAMINER	
			ARANA, LOUIS M	
CLEVELAND	CLEVELAND, OH 44143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/537,451	HAM ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Louis M. Arana	2859		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. HED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

10/537,451 Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to your response filed 10/9/07. Claims 1-11 remain on the application unamended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boskamp et al. P.N. 6,995,561 (Boskamp). Boskamp discloses a multiple channel transceiver for MRI. Applicant's attention is directed to Fig.5 and corresponding description. Boskamp uses a circuit 200 to individually drive resonator elements or coil elements of a volume array 100. Each of the driving signals is controllable as to phase and amplitude. See col. 6, lines 50-64. Boskamp meets all the limitations of the claims at issue.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant agues that Boskamp does not disclose all elements of the claims at issue. The element not disclose by Boskamp is allegedly the requirement by claim 1, that "the individual coil drive signals generated by the coil drive circuit so as to have substantially identical shape". The examiner disagrees that such feature is absent in Boskamp. First, because Boskamp is directed to a volume coil array for MRI it is clearly inherent that all drive signals would be of substantially the same shape, namely a pulse for generating or eliciting the MRI response from the body under examination. Secondly,

10/537,451

Art Unit: 2859

careful examination of Boskamp's Fig. 5, shows that all element signals are commonly generated at amplifier 204, after this, the signal is attenuated, phase shifted, but the shape of the signal will not change.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or/\$71-272-1000.

Louis M. Arana Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

lma 1/6/08